The opinion in support of the decision being entered today was <u>not</u> written for publication and is <u>not</u> binding precedent of the Board.

Paper No. 50

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

## BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

MAILED

Ex parte HIDEHIKO KIRA, MASANAO FUJII, AND NAOKI ISHIKAWA MAR 2 7 2002

PAT. & T.M. OFFICE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Application No. 08/897,953

## ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL

Before STONER, <u>Chief Administrative Patent Judge</u>, HARKCOM, <u>Vice Chief Administrative Patent Judge</u>, and WILLIAM F. SMITH, <u>Administrative Patent Judge</u>.

## PER CURIAM

On May 30, 2000, applicants filed a Notice of Appeal (Paper No. 45). On October 15, 2001, applicants filed a request for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) of the present application (Paper No. 49) under 37 CFR § 1.53(d). Pursuant to 37 CFR § 1.53(d)(2)(v), a request for a CPA operates as a request to expressly abandon the prior application.

Appeal No. 2001-1082 Application 08/897,953

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the appeal filed May 30, 2000 (Paper No. 45)

is dismissed.

The application is being returned to the examiner for further action as may be appropriate.

BRUCE H. STONER, JR. Chief Administrative Patent Judge

GARY V. HARKCOM, Vice Chief Administrative Patent Judge

WILLIAM F. SMITH
Administrative Patent Judge

**BOARD OF PATENT** 

**APPEALS AND** 

) INTERFERENCES

Application 08/897,953

ARMSTRONG, WESTERMAN, HATTORI, MCLELAND AND NAUGHTON 1725 K STREET, N.W., STE. 1000 WASHINGTON, DC 20006